EXHIBIT 11

[REDACTED VERSION]

1 The Honorable John C. Coughenour 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 10 11 Case No. 2:21-cv-00563-JCC IN RE VALVE ANTITRUST LITIGATION 12 VALVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO 13 PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF **INTERROGATORIES** 14 15 16 Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33, Defendant Valve Corporation 17 ("Valve"), by and through its undersigned counsel, responds and objects to Plaintiffs' Second Set of Interrogatories (individually an "Interrogatory" and collectively the "Second Interrogatories") 18 19 as follows: 20 **GENERAL OBJECTIONS** 21 Valve makes the following General Objections to the Second Interrogatories. These 22 General Objections apply and are incorporated into each of Valve's responses below, whether or 23 not also expressly restated in a particular response (for emphasis or otherwise) or incorporated into 24 a particular response. Valve's responses and objections as set forth herein are made without prejudice to Valve's right to assert further responses or objections should Valve discover additional 25 26 grounds for such responses or objections. The assertion of the same, similar, or additional

CONFIDENTIAL – PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER VALVE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (2:21-CV-00563-JCC) - 1

Fox Rothschild LLP

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

In addition to its General Objections, Valve objects to this Interrogatory's use of the phrase "governing body responsible for the ultimate and/or executive decisions of the company" insofar as it assumes facts, suggests a role performed by Valve's board that is different than what it performs in the ordinary course of business or is based on factual assumptions regarding an organizational hierarchy at Valve, and insofar as it contains legal conclusions regarding "responsib[ility] for the ultimate and/or executive decision of the company."

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Valve responds as follows:

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Identify with specificity each instance in the previous ten (10) years where Valve notified a PC game publisher or developer, in sum and substance, that:

(a) the price of a game sold outside of Steam should not be lower than the price on Steam;

CONFIDENTIAL – PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER VALVE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (2:21-CV-00563-JCC) - 11

Fox Rothschild LLP

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Your Tenth Affirmative Defense in Your Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint states: "As long as Valve has a valid business reason for the terms it attaches to its Steam Keys, which it does, those terms are not unlawful." (Dkt. # 104.) Identify each and every "valid business reason for the terms [Valve] attaches to its Steam Keys" and all material documents reflecting, memorializing, or evidencing the same.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

In addition to its General Objections, Valve objects to this Interrogatory because it impermissibly seeks a legal conclusion concerning the validity of Valve's business reasons for the terms it attaches to its Steam Keys. Valve also objects to this Interrogatory insofar as it prematurely seeks expert opinion or testimony, which Valve shall provide at the time and in the manner set forth in the case schedule, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Western District of Washington Local Rules. Valve further objects to this Interrogatory because it purports to seek information, documents or communications protected from discovery or disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, immunity or grounds for withholding information, or purport to require Valve to provide information from its attorneys. Valve objects to this Interrogatory's use of the phrase "material documents" as vague to the extent it leaves Valve guessing as to what should be considered "material."

Based on its objections, Valve is not presently responding to this Interrogatory, but invites Plaintiffs to meet and confer.

CONFIDENTIAL – PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER VALVE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (2:21-CV-00563-JCC) - 31 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

1		
2	DATED this 13 th day of March, 2023.	
3		
4		s/ Gavin W. Skok
5		Gavin W. Skok, WSBA #29766 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
6		1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, WA 98154
7		Telephone (206) 624-3600 Fax (206) 389-1708 gskok@foxrothschild.com
8		Kristen Ward Broz
9 10		FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 2020 K. St. NW, Ste. 500 Washington, DC 20006
11		Telephone (202) 794-1220 Fax (202) 461-3102
12		kbroz@foxrothschild.com
13		Nathan M. Buchter FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
14		2000 Market Street, 20 th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103
15		Telephone (215) 299-3010 nbuchter@foxrothschild.com
16		Charles B. Casper (pro hac vice)
17		Peter Breslauer (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Robert E. Day (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
18		Jessica Rizzo (pro hac vice) MONTGOMERY McCRACKEN WALKER
19		& RHOADS LLP 1735 Market Street, 21st Floor
20		Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone (215) 772-1500
21		ccasper@mmwr.com pbreslauer@mmwr.com
22		rday@mmwr.com jrizzo@mmwr.com
23		Attorneys for Defendant Valve Corporation
24		
25		
26		

<u>CONFIDENTIAL – PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER</u> VALVE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (2:21-CV-00563-JCC) - 32

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1

2

3

4

5

I certify that I am a secretary at the law firm of Fox Rothschild LLP in Seattle, Washington. I am a U.S. citizen over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within cause. On the date shown below, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing on counsel of record for all other parties to this action as indicated below:

6	<u>Service List</u>		
7 8 9 10	Alicia Cobb, WSBA #48685 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 1109 First Avenue, Suite 210 Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone (206) 905-7000 Fax (206) 905-7100 aliciacobb@quinnemanuel.com	☐ Via US Mail ☐ Via Messenger ☑ Via CM/ECF / Email ☐ Via over-night delivery	
11 12 13	Steig D. Olson (<i>pro hac vice</i>) David LeRay (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Nicolas Siebert (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Shane Seppinni (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
13 14	QUINN ÉMANÜEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010		
15 16	Telephone (212) 849-7231 Fax (212) 849-7100 steigolson@quinnemanuel.com davidleray@quinnemanuel.com		
17 18	nicolassiebert@quinnemanuel.com shaneseppinni@quinnemanuel.com		
19	Adam Wolfson (<i>pro hac vice</i>) QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017		
20 21	Telephone (213) 443-3285 Fax (213) 443-3100 adamwolfson@quinnemanuel.com		
22 23	Charles Stevens (<i>pro hac vice</i>) QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
24	50 California St., 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone (415) 875-6600		
25	Fax (415) 875-6700 charliestevens@quinnemanuel.com		
26	Interim Co-Lead Counsel		

<u>CONFIDENTIAL – PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER</u> VALVE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (2:21-CV-00563-JCC) - 33 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

1	David Golden (pro hac vice)
2	Justin Fore (<i>pro hac vice</i>) CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
3	1001 Pennsylvania Ave., 22nd Floor Washington, D.C. 20004
4	Telephone (202) 204-4527 Fax (202) 204-3501
5	dgolden@constantinecannon.com wfore@constantinecannon.com
6	A. Owen Glist (pro hac vice) Ankur Kapoor (pro hac vice)
7	Jeffrey I. Shinder (pro hac vice) CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
8	335 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor New York, NY 10017
9	Telephone (212) 350-2700 Fax (212) 350-2701
10	oglist@constantinecannon.com akapoor@constantinecannon.com
11	jshinder@constantinecannon.com
12	Stephanie L. Jensen, WSBA #42042 Tyre L. Tindall, WSBA #56357
13	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI P.C. 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
14	Seattle, WA 98104-7036 Telephone (206) 883-2500
15	Fax (206) 883-2699 sjensen@wsgr.com
16	ttindall@wsgr.com
17	Kenneth R. O'Rourke (pro hac vice) Scott A. Sher (pro hac vice)
18	Allison B. Smith (pro hac vice) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, P.C.
19	1700 K Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006
20	Telephone (202) 973-8800 Fax (202) 973-8899
21	korourke@wsgr.com ssher@wsgr.com
22	allison.smith@wsgr.com
23	
24	
25	
26	

<u>CONFIDENTIAL – PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER</u> VALVE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (2:21-CV-00563-JCC) - 34

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

	W. Joseph Bruckner (pro hac vice)
1	Joseph C. Bourne (pro hac vice)
	Kyle J. Pozan (pro hac vice)
2	Leona Bridget Ajavon (pro hac vice)
	LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.
3	100 Washington Avenue S, Suite 2200
	Minneapolis, MN 55401
4	Telephone: (612) 339-6900
ا ہ	Fax: (612) 339-0981
5	wjbruckner@locklaw.com
	jcbourne@locklaw.com
6	kjpozan@locklaw.com
7	lbajavon@locklaw.com
/	
8	Interim Co-Lead Counsel
0	
9	Kenneth J. Rubin (pro hac vice)
	Timothy B. McGranor (pro hac vice)
10	Kara M. Mundy (pro hac vice)
10	Douglas R. Matthew (pro hac vice)
11	VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP
	52 East Gay Street
12	Columbus, Ohio 43215
	Telephone (614) 464-6400
13	Fax (614) 719-4796
	kjrubin@vorys.com
14	tbmcgranor@vorys.com
	kmmundy@vorys.com
15	drmatthews@vorys.com
16	Thomas N. McCormick (pro hac vice)
	VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND
17	PEASÉ LLP
	4675 MacArthur Court, Suite 700
18	Newport Beach, California 92660
	Phone (949) 526-7903 Fax (949) 383-2384
19	tnmccormick@vorys.com
20	
20	Executive Committee
21	
21	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the
22	

foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED this 13th day of March, 2023, in Seattle, Washington.

Courtney R. Brooks

<u>CONFIDENTIAL – PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER</u> VALVE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (2:21-CV-00563-JCC) - 35

23

24

25

26

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP